IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 27880 of 1998**

Between:

1 Bomma Venkata Mallaiah S/o Lingaiah
R/o Veesampally Village, Warangal (D).
2 Kancharapally Balaiah S/o Balaiah
R/o Veesampally Village, Warangal (D).
3 Swathu Sakru S/o Sakru
R/o Veesampally Village, Warangal (D).

**..... PETITIONERS**

AND

1 The Gram Panchayat,Veesampally,Marripeda Mandal, Waragal District,Rep.by its Sarpanch.
2 The District Panchayat Officer, Panchayat Raj Department,Warangal.
3 The District Collector, Warangal District,Warangal.

**....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order, or Direction, more particularly one in nature of Writ of Mandamus Directing the respondents to permit the petitioners and co-operative with them in exercising all rights including fishing rights in the Lokasikunta,Tummala Cheruvu and muthyalamma Kunta of Veesampally Gram Panchyat tanks in view of payment of bid amount to the Gram Panchyat in pursuance of the 1st respondents resolution,dt.16-7-98 and the auction dt.20-7-98,after declaring he action of the respondents in not permitting the petitioners and in not cooperating with the petitioners in exercising all rights including fishing rights in the three tanks, as illegal, arbitrary, and Bad in Law, and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the enjoyment of the fishing rights etc., in Lokasikunta,Tummala Cheruvu and Muthyalamma Kunta of Veesampally Gram Panchayat tanks, till 30-6-99,i.e.,the date till the lease subsists, in the interest of justice

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.B.SUDHAKAR REDDY & NEERAJA REDDY**
**Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV.**

**The Court made the following :**

**ORDER:**

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become in-fructuous.

Recording the submission of the learned counsel for petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as in-fructuous. No costs.

_____ N.V.RAMANA,J

24-2-2005

TVK

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat,Veesampally,Marripeda Mandal, Waragal District,

2 The District Panchayat Officer, Panchayat Raj Department,Warangal.
3 The District Collector, Warangal Disrict,Warangal.

4) 2 CD copies

5) 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, High Court of A.P.Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**KSV**}*